

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

DOROTHEA A. RUSSELL a/k/a
DOROTHEA A. SMALL,
Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Civ. No. 16-6466

ORDER

On March 3, 2017, Plaintiff requested an extension of time to effect service. (Doc. No. 4.) The same day, I granted Plaintiff an extension until April 5, 2017. (Doc. No. 5.) Now, just four days later, Plaintiff asks me to permit alternate service. (Doc. No. 6.) This is the **fourth** facially insufficient Motion for alternate service that Plaintiff has filed before me since December. (See United States v. Ishmael, No. 16-5376, Doc. Nos. 2 (Dec. 29, 2016), 5 (Mar. 7, 2017); United States v. Christopher, No. 16-5818, Doc. No. 3 (Feb. 2, 2017).) Once again, I will deny Plaintiff's Motion. (See, e.g., Ishmael, Doc. No. 3 (Jan. 5, 2017) ("Plaintiff's counsel avers that Defendant's 'whereabouts are unknown.' . . . Plaintiff thus cannot show that publication and mail to Defendant's last known address is reasonably calculated to provide Defendant with notice.").)

AND NOW, this 7th day of March, 2017, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Service by Posting Property [sic] and Certified Mail (Doc. No. 6), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion (Doc. No. 6) is **DENIED**.

FILING ANOTHER FACIALLY INSUFFICIENT MOTION FOR ALTERNATE SERVICE MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS UPON PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Paul S. Diamond

Paul S. Diamond, J.